

Apportionment Status Report
CMAQ and RSTP
(as of April 30, 2010)

AB 1012
Balances entering the 3rd Year
(from FFY 2008*)
Rural Report Summary

*Previously referred to as Cycle 11

Region	CMAQ Unobligated 4/30/2010 Delivery Balance ¹	CMAQ Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming 11/01/2010 ²	RSTP Unobligated 4/30/2010 Delivery Balance ¹	RSTP Amount Subject to AB 1012 Reprogramming 11/01/2010 ²
Rural County Information:				
Del Norte	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-
Plumas ⁴	-	-	(1,873)	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-
Nevada ³	710,083	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-
Inyo	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-
Alpine	-	-	-	-
Amador ^{3, 5}	60,000	-	-	-
Calaveras ^{3, 5}	20,000	-	-	-
Mariposa ³	22,979	-	-	-
Tuolumne ^{3, 5}	1,027,113	-	-	-
Imperial (SCAG) ³	1,576,424	-	2,358,077	-
Rural Combined Totals:	\$3,416,598	-	2,356,204	-

Balances now include:

* Oct 09--Advanced/Estimated Apportionments for CMAQ & RSTP for FFY 2009-10

Footnotes:

¹ Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.

² Totals reflect balances in the third year.

³ Beginning in FFY 2006, these rural regions are now receiving CMAQ apportionments.

⁴ RSTP Exchange for FFY 06/07 was calculated on the Estimate vs Actual RSTP apportionment. Because the Actual apportionment was lower than the Estimate, the RSTP exchange from Plumas was higher than it should have been. The RSTP exchange for FFY 07/08 absorbed some of the negative balance.

⁵ MOU was entered into between Amador, Calaveras & Tuolumne-balances adjusted accordingly. (MOU spans five FFYs)

Assumes the use of all previous balances.

The regional balances reflect activities that have been recorded in the Department's Local Assistance accounting system. There may be a delay between the FHWA authorization and the recording of the transaction in the Department's Local Assistance accounting system.